

NAME	Q1		Q2A		Q2B		Q3		Q4		Q5		Q6		Q7		Q8		REPRESENTING
	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	
Aikens	X		X		X			X		X	X			X	X			X	Savvy Optics
Bedard	X		X		X		X			X	X			X	X			X	RPO
Boulton	X		X		X		X			X	X		X					X	Individual
Dombrowski		X																	Gage-Line Tech.
Dowell	X		X		X			X		X			X		X		X		NIST
Gaugh	X		X		X		X		X			X	X		X		X		Individual
Howland	X		X		X		X		X			X		X		X		X	NGES
Johnson	X																		HJOL
Palmer	X									X	X			X					LMM&FC
Tipps	X		X		X		X			X		X		X	X		X		Optimax
Turner	X			X	X			X	X		X			X				X	REO
Williamson	X		X		X		X				X		X		X		X		R. Williamson Consulting
TOTALS	11	1	8	1	9	0	6	3	3	6	7	2	5	5	7	0	5	4	

QUESTIONS (SOME PARAPHRASED):

Q1: Shall the TF approve the subject standard and submit it to ASC OP for approval?

Q2A: Should we allow suspension of accumulation and concentration tests?

Q2B: Should we allow scratch length to be defined along the optical surface rather than projected?

Q3: Should we limit smallest dimensional specs. to 1 um for scratches & 10 um for digs?

Q4: Should we produce our own version of C7461866?

Q5: Should we allow supplementary magnification of 4X to evaluate visibility specs.?

Q6: Should we allow all kinds of scratches and digs outside the CA?

Q7: Should we correct the misunderstanding about the meaning of "true roof surface"?

Q8: Should we clarify the nature of a default CA as "planar"?

Shading means either "no response," "no opinion," or "I don't understand the question."