Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 8/6/12	Document: **ISO 10110-12:2007/DAM 1**

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB[1]	**Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex** (e.g. 3.1)	**Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note** (e.g. Table 1)	**Type of com-ment[2]**	**Comment (justification for change) by the MB**	**Proposed change by the MB**	**Secretariat observations** on each comment submitted
US	3.3.2.4		te	” Note: There exists also another description which combines a conic with a set of orthogonal polynomials which is also permitted (see [3] an derivatives for both types).” This phrase is clearly informative and not normative. The standard introduces ambiguity with its inclusion.	There are two modification options: - Delete the phrase entirely as it is informative and that is covered by inclusion of the reference. - Include the other representation as a formal normative equation for a standard. The second option is the US proposed change to formally include these expressions as a normative formulation in the standard.	
US	3.3.2.4		ed	Typo “an” leading to a nonsensical expression. Unclear what the phase should be.	Possibly should be “and”	
US	New Annex B	Eq. (B.2)	te	We believe the equation for Pm+1 should start with [2-4(h^2/h0^2)] instead of (2-4h)	Verify that the equation is correct	
US	New Annex B	Eqs. for Q2 to Q5	ed	One delimiting parenthesis is not closed per equation. In Q2 it is the one that is before 25. Effectively the same positioned parenthesis is not closed in each equation.	Fix typo	

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by ******)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.