

Draft Meeting Minutes  
ASC OP TF 1 – Optical Glass  
Wednesday, June 12, 2013 , 16:30 – 17:30 EDT

Teleconference only

**1. Welcome and Introductions**

Hal Johnson called the meeting to order at 16:36. In attendance were Dave Aikens, Bill Royall, and Gordon Boulton. Since we all knew each other, we did not do introductions

**2. Approval of the agenda**

Gordon Boulton motioned to accept the agenda, with the modification to add approval of the minutes to the previous meeting. The motion was seconded by D. Aikens and the motion passed.

**3. Approval of the minutes to the previous meeting**

Bill Royall moved we accept the minutes to the August meeting. G. Boulton seconded, and the motion carried.

**4. Decision regarding OP3.001, in the context of MIL-G-174B, ISO 12123, and ISO 10110-2, -3, and -4**

D. Aikens stated the situation; we are currently trying to figure out what to do about OP3.001. We have gotten several comments indicating that Schott is not willing to quote to the standard at this time. In reviewing the documents mentioned in the subject, he found that, in fact, OP3.001 is not based on MIL-G-174B, as had been understood before. Actually, OP3.001 and ISO 12123 are almost identical, with the exception of the striae specification and the presence of grade level descriptors in OP3.001 that don't exist in ISO 12123. Likely Jack Stroud, the Schott representative, took the work he had done on OP3.001 but had been voted down, and delivered that to the Schott German delegation to offer as a draft ISO standard. Since the SC1 and SC3 groups were not communicating, no one in the ASC OP even noticed.

On the other hand, MIL-G-174B is a very straight forward standard for specifying glass for visual systems. It has mostly default specifications, with a decent grading system for striae and inclusions. So there are two questions here; what should we do about OP3.001 and should we release a new standard for default optical glass based on MIL-G-174B?

Since the key point here is that the glass companies will not quote to OP3.001, we really can't make a decision about what to do without more input from the glass companies on both 3.001 and 12123. We will go get more information, and reconvene.

Action: D. Aikens to contact Schott and Corning, to find out if they prefer 3.001 or 12123, and why.

Action: H. Johnson to contact Ohara and Corning, to find out if they prefer 3.001 or 12123, and why.

#### **5. Standards for molded glass properties**

D. Aikens said that he has not had the chance to follow up on molded glass properties; he will discuss this with Nathan Carlie when he calls Schott.

#### **6. Other business**

There was no other business

#### **7. Time and place for next meeting**

D. Aikens moved that we meet in a month, to continue the discussion once we have glass company input. He proposed Monday, July 8<sup>th</sup>, 2013 at 16:30 EDT. W. Royall seconded, and the motion carried.

#### **8. Adjourn**

D. Aikens moved we adjourn. W. Royall seconded, and the motion carried. The meeting was adjourned at 17:26 EDT.